



## THE LOCAVORE'S DILEMMA: In Praise of the 10,000-Mile Diet by Pierre Desrochers and Hiroko Shimizo

Pierre Desrochers and Hiroko Shimizu have gone all out to make their case

Perseus, pb, £18.99

for the 'globavore' diet, to ground it in their interpretation of science and to make it look unbiased. Science, after all, isn't supposed to take sides. But Desrochers is a fellow of the Political Economy Research Center, a free-market environmental think-tank funded by industry that gave George W Bush environmental advice during his presidency.

The authors acknowledge their links with industry but dismiss their relevance. As a result, their tone can only be described as arrogant, snotty even, and defensive. Local-food advocates are 'romantics', 'protectionists' or worse, 'cultists', while those who advocate a global diet are 'rational' and 'scientific'.

There is certainly a lot to chew on here and some starting points on which many could agree. But what happens as our energy and economic infrastructures collapse? The authors argue that a freer market will sort it all out and that peak oil is a myth – and even if it isn't, there is plenty of liquefied coal, shale oil and tar sands to fuel the global diet. The book

takes a darker turn when the authors suggest that we need to feed the poor because we need them as labour.

The food system (and the economic system on which it depends) is broken and wasteful. No amount of 'eat global' cheerleading can change that. The world is finite, the climate is changing, oil and water are running out, and what Desrochers and Shimizu have to offer is, in the end, mostly sarcasm.

Local-food advocates don't wish to return to the past, they wish to move forward in a way that is resource appropriate and in line with human individual and cultural needs. Many would be tempted to ignore this book. I would argue that they should read it and know their enemy. PAT THOMAS